	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/651,871	DONOHOE ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Vinh	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS Is herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate comm RIGHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. 1	
1. X This communication is responsive to Applealed brief filed	<u>on 11/24/2003</u> .		
2. \boxtimes The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>5-8, 10-27, 29-36, 38-46</u> .	•		
$oxed{3}$. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on $oxed{31}$ August 2000 are accepted by the	ne Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be substituted in the priority of the certification (PTO-152) which give a substitute of Drawings (as "replacement sheets") mides (as "replacement sheets") mides (b) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspering (b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the department of the paper No./Mail Date DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the department of the paper No./Mail Paper	ve been received. ve been received in Application ocuments have been received. "" of this communication to file MENT of this application. mitted. Note the attached EX ves reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. rson's Patent Drawing Review. r's Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 CF posit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No d in this national stage application from a reply complying with the requirement AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE Cordectaration is deficient. ov (PTO-948) attached in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of the 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the	ts DF
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Proffporcep's Patent Proving Review (PTO 948)		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No.	ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date	
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment	
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	8. ⊠ Examiner's 9. ☐ Other	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
o. Diological material	5. [] Other		
		Lan Vinh AU 1765//	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/651,871

Art Unit: 1765

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 5-8, 10-27, 29-36 ad 38-46 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 5, 41, the applicants have presented a persuasive argument (page 4 of the appealed brief) that the cited prior art of record fails to disclose a method to control etch profile while etching "wherein said pulsing is applied so that the at least one gas does not reach steady state concentration within an etch chamber in a plurality of periods/wherein said pulsing is applied so that the carbon containing gas does not reach steady state concentration within an etch chamber in a plurality of periods". In the contrary, the closest cited prior art of Kashimizu et al (US 5,980,767) discloses pulsing wherein the concentration of the gas within the etch chamber reaches steady state (the pulse is level either at least at its maximum or minimum) at least one in a given plurality of periods (fig. 51). Regarding claims 27, 31, 35, the applicants have presented a persuasive argument (page 5 of the appealed brief) that the cited prior art of record fails to disclose a method for etching oxide "wherein said hydrofluorocarbon gas is pulsed in a range from 0 sccm to about 25 sccm and is at least intermittently at a higher concentration than said fluorocarbons gas/wherein said second gas is at least intermittently at a higher concentration than said first gas/wherein said etchant gas is at least intermittently at a higher concentration than said polymer forming gas. The closest cited prior art of Kashimizu et al (US 5,980,767) discloses that the CF₄/fluorocarbon gas/polymer forming gas (col 41, lines 26-27)/first gas is always at an equal or higher concentration than the CHF₃/hydrofluorocarbon gas/etchant gas (col 16, lines 38-46)

Application/Control Number: 09/651,871

Art Unit: 1765

while the gas is pulsed (fig. 51). Regarding claim 45, the applicants have presented a persuasive argument (page 6 of the appealed brief) that the cited prior art of record fails to disclose a method to provide increased gas flow rate tolerance while etching "wherein the pulsing enables the selection of the flow rate from within a second process window that is larger than the first process window while still providing the desired etch profile in the microelectronics substrate". The closest cited prior art of Kashimizu et al (US 5,980,767) discloses the pulsing enables the selection of the flow rate from high to low value/within one process window (fig. 52).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/651,871

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LV

February 4, 2004